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Synopsis
This work develops an end to end, open source, vendor neutral package that allows for fast prototyping of magnetic resonance fingerprinting using Pulseq. In this work, a previously published sequence was implemented in Pulseq, and data were acquired on ISMRM/NIST phantom. The raw data were reconstructed and matched with an extended phase graph simulated dictionary. Automated region of interest analysis was performed to extract $T_1$ and $T_2$ estimation for each sphere of the phantom. The results show that $T_1$ and $T_2$ estimations for 17 spheres of $T_1$ and $T_2$ arrays are within 20% of gold standard measurements.

Introduction
Magnetic resonance fingerprinting (MRF) is a framework that allows for simultaneous quantification of tissue properties.\(^1\) However, there are no consistent implementations of MRF to evaluate accuracy and repeatability across different sites and vendors. Recently, Pulseq was established as an open-source tool that enables fast prototyping of pulse sequence in MATLAB and Python.\(^2,3\) In this work, we leverage Pulseq to develop an end to end open source MRF package named OMEGA in MATLAB and validate its functionalities.

Method
The gold standard (GS) measurements of $T_1$ and $T_2$ in corresponding arrays of the ISMRM/NIST phantom were performed on a Siemens 3T Prisma scanner (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) using the same protocols in previous study.\(^4\) The last four spheres of $T_2$ array were fitted separately using $TE=12, 15, 18$, and $21$ ms to better characterize their values.

OMEGA contains five modules. The sequence design module used repetition time (TR), echo time (TE), and flip angles (FA) reported in literature and generated a seq file that was played on the scanner. The sequence was implemented using Pulseq\(^4\) with the following parameters: maximum gradient amplitude 32 mT/m, maximum slew rate 130 T/m/s, field of view 225 mm, and matrix size 256x256, with a total of 1000 time points. An inversion pulse was added at the beginning to enhance contrast and improve dictionary matching performance.

In vitro data was acquired using the generated sequence on ISMRM/NIST phantom at the same scanner. The scans were performed using a 20-channel head coil. The collected data from each coil was inputted into the image reconstruction module and sliding window reconstructed using Michigan Image Reconstruction Toolbox (MIRT) for Non-Uniform Fast Fourier reconstruction of spiral k-space data. The reconstructed data of each channel was corrected for phase and combined to form the final image.

The dictionary simulation module generated a dictionary using Extended Phase Graph (EPG)\(^5\) simulations with the same parameters as in the sequence. The dictionary contains 2627 entries covering $T_1$ and $T_2$ of all spheres in ISMRM/NIST phantom. The reconstructed image data was matched with EPG-simulated dictionary using vector dot product in dictionary matching module to generate $T_1$ and $T_2$ maps. Inversion time (TI) was calibrated to optimize dictionary matching performance.

The automated ROI analysis module was designed to extract mean and standard deviation (SD) of all spheres in the phantom. The tool assumed that: 1) the relative distance from each sphere to Sphere 1 are constants, 2) the experiment estimations are +/- 15% of NIST values. The tool returns an error if the difference is greater than 15%, and 3) the phantom is at the isocenter of the magnet. 100 pixels per sphere were selected for OMEGA data and 50 pixels were selected for GS data due to different matrix sizes.

Previous studies suggested that $T_2$ values are more susceptible to $B_1$ variations than $T_1$.\(^6\) A Bloch-Siegert based $B_1$ mapping was performed to investigate the issue.

Results/Discussion
The $T_1$ and $T_2$ measurements are presented in Figure 1. All values are within 10% of NIST values.\(^5\) The extra four TEs helped improve the curve fitting performance with smaller $T_2$ values because longer TEs mostly contribute noise and compromise the curve fitting performance.

The $T_1$ and $T_2$ values are presented in Figure 4. Spheres 6-13 are within 15% of GS values. The first five $T_2$ values underestimate GS values by approximately 20%. This agrees with our previous study\(^7\) and can be corrected using a constant bias term. Sphere 14 was matched incorrectly because of the limited dictionary resolution in short $T_1$ range. Deep learning method is a potential solution to this problem. $T_2$ values have a larger SD comparing to $T_1$. Figure 5 presents the $B_1$ map acquired on $T_2$ array with an $8$ degree flip angle. The last two spheres with shortest $T_2$ values experience larger $B_1$ variations compared to other spheres. $T_1$ and $T_2$ maps can be incorporated in EPG dictionary simulation and improve the dictionary matching performance.

The complete OMEGA package is open source and accessible on Github.\(^8\) This enables consistent implementations across multiple vendor platforms for meaningful comparisons of MRF sequences.

Conclusion
In this study, we demonstrated OMEGA, the first end to end, open source, vendor neutral MRF package using Pulseq and MATLAB reconstruction. Our results suggested that OMEGA is accurate for 17 spheres of $T_1$ and $T_2$ arrays in ISMRM/NIST phantom. Future work includes incorporating $B_1$ map to EPG dictionary simulation, deep learning based image reconstruction, and diffusion imaging.
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**Figures**

**Figure 1:** Gold standard measurements: The mean and standard deviation (SD) of $T_1$ estimates of 14 spheres from $T_1$ array using IR-SE method and $T_2$ estimates of 14 spheres from $T_2$ array using SE method.

**Figure 2:** (a) Flip angle (FA) and (b) repetition time (TR) design in OMEGA. The design is identical to the previous MRF design in literature. (c) is the RF magnitude plot of the first 13 pulses implemented using Pulseq. The first pulse is the inversion pulse.

**Figure 3:** (a) Reconstructed MRF data at time point 500 using sliding window reconstruction. The red square marked the pixel plotted in (b). (b) Comparison of MRF signal evolution of one pixel with its corresponding dictionary entry. The pixel marked in (a) was selected in sphere 1 on $T_1$ array. The corresponding dictionary entry selected was $T_1 = 1.8$ s and $T_2 = 1.3$ s.

**Figure 4:** (a) $T_1$ map of $T_1$ array and (b) $T_2$ map of $T_2$ array generated using OMEGA. The units are in ms. The boxplots of min and max for each spheres in (c) $T_1$ spheres and (d) $T_2$ spheres are plotted. The red triangles are the GS values measured using the spin-echo method. The black squares are the data generated using OMEGA.
Figure 5: $B_1$ map of $T_2$ array of NIST phantom. The map was acquired using Bloch-Siegert method with a flip angle of 8 degrees. The four spheres with small $T_2$ values experienced lower flip angles compared to other spheres. Spheres 1-8 received higher flip angles.